RESEARCH ARTICLE



Soil amendments for cadmium phytostabilization by five marigold cultivars

Alapha Thongchai¹ · Weeradej Meeinkuirt² · Puntaree Taeprayoon² · John Pichtel³

Received: 18 August 2018 / Accepted: 14 January 2019 © Springer-Verlag GmbH Germany, part of Springer Nature 2019

Abstract

In recent years, ornamental plants have come under investigation as phytoremediation agents. In addition to reducing contaminant concentrations in soil, such plants support local economies by serving social (e.g., religious) and decorative purposes. Greenhouse studies investigated the phytostabilization potential of soil cadmium (Cd) by five cultivars of marigold (*Tagetes erecta*), a common ornamental flower in Asia. The effects of organic (cattle manure and pig manure) and inorganic (leonardite and Osmocote®) amendments in supporting plant growth and enhancing Cd uptake were also examined. Marigold cultivars Babuda and Sunshine grown in soil supplemented with pig manure produced the greatest biomass and experienced greatest Cd accumulation and flower production. In all treatments, plant parts accumulated Cd in the following order: root > shoot \approx flower. Furthermore, Babuda and Sunshine cultivars had a high phytostabilization potential as evidenced by translocation factors < 1 and bioconcentration factors > 1 for roots. It is proposed that Babuda and Sunshine marigold cultivars be applied toward Cd phytostabilization while enhancing local economies as an ornamental species.

Keywords Cadmium · Phytostabilization · Marigolds · Greenhouse experiment · Babuda · Sunshine

Introduction

The Mae Tao river basin of Tak Province in Western Thailand provides a well-documented example of the impacts of heavy metal contamination from anthropogenic activities. Over several decades, cadmium (Cd) from mining operations has contaminated agricultural soil; concentrations as high as 73.1 mg kg⁻¹ are documented (Sriprachote et al. 2014). Such elevated Cd concentrations have been associated with acute and chronic Cd-related ailments (i.e., kidney and bone disease) in those consuming locally grown rice grain, corn, potato, and leafy vegetables (Putwattana et al. 2015; Swaddiwudhipong et al. 2012).

Responsible editor: Elena Maestri

Weeradej Meeinkuirt weeradej.mee@mahidol.ac.th

- ² Mahidol University, Nakhonsawan Campus, Nakhonsawan 60130, Thailand
- ³ Natural Resources and Environmental Management, Ball State University, Muncie, IN 47306, USA

Marigold (*Tagetes erecta*) is important for its economic value as well as for its esthetic appeal (Haque et al. 2012). In Thailand, the marigold flower is used in Buddhist worship and for decorations on structures. The marigold is being cultivated on heavy metal–contaminated sites, as this species offers the potential to accumulate toxic elements such as As, Pb, and Cd in high concentrations (Chintakovid et al. 2008; Bosiacki 2008). Ornamental and other non-edible plants are considered an appropriate tool for removal of toxic metals from soil; their cultivation will improve local environmental conditions while providing economic benefits via marketing flowers (Nakbanpote et al. 2016).

Soil at many contaminated sites suffers from very low levels of essential nutrients, particularly nitrogen (N), phosphorus (P), and potassium (K). This may be accompanied by low pH and high metal concentrations, all of which can significantly limit plant growth (Cooke and Johnson 2002). Cadmium-affected agricultural soil in the Mae Tao river basin is documented as having pH ranging from near-neutral to 8.1; 0.02–0.18% total N; 9.4–53 mg kg⁻¹ extractable P; 121.3–133 mg kg⁻¹ extractable K; 15–29 g kg⁻¹ organic matter (OM); and 9.2–15.2 cmol kg⁻¹ cation exchange capacity (CEC) (Meeinkuirt et al. 2016; Phusantisampan et al. 2016; Putwattana et al. 2015; Saengwilai et al. 2017).

¹ Faculty of Science Technology and Agriculture, Yala Rajabhat University, Yala 95000, Thailand

Organic amendments improve both the physicochemical status and biological activity of contaminated soil. Repeated applications increase water retention capacity, reduce soil erosion, and affect metal speciation and plant bioavailability (Bot and Benites 2005; Shahid et al. 2014). Several organic materials including brown coal, biochar, animal manure, composts, and organic fertilizers have been evaluated as supplements for phytoremediation (Pichtel and Bradway 2007; Ogbonnaya and Semple 2013).

Recent studies have revealed that manure additions immobilize metals in rhizosphere soil, which may enhance metal phytostabilization and accumulation in roots (Elouear et al. 2016; Phusantisampan et al. 2016). Phytostabilization is an effective strategy in soil remediation as it uses root biomass to accumulate metals in high quantities while limiting accumulation in edible plant parts, resulting in no serious health risks from consumption. Soil amendments also convert the soluble and exchangeable metal forms to more geochemically stable solid phases, thus reducing the heavy metal pool for root uptake, resulting in reduced bioavailability (Cheng and Hseu 2002). In Thailand, organic amendments such as cattle, pig, and chicken manures are readily available, inexpensive, easy to apply, and free from heavy metals (Meeinkuirt et al. 2012, 2016).

In the reported study, five popular marigold cultivars were investigated in greenhouse experiments as potential phytostabilization agents on Cd-contaminated soil. In addition, selected organic and inorganic amendments were investigated for their potential to increase the efficiency of marigolds in Cd uptake and accumulation as well as in supporting plant growth.

Materials and Methods

Greenhouse study

Greenhouse experiments were carried out at Mahidol University, Nakhonsawan campus. Composite soil samples were collected from the surface 0–20 cm in fields documented as Cd-contaminated (N16° 40′ 35.9″ E98° 37′ 37.4″) (Meeinkuirt et al. 2016). Soil material was composited in the field, air-dried, and sieved to pass a 2-mm mesh sieve. Noncontaminated soil was purchased from commercial sources. Cattle and pig manure, leonardite, and Osmocote® were evaluated as soil amendments. Manures were obtained from lagoons on the University research farms, whereas leonardite and Osmocote® were purchased from an agricultural supply store. Cattle and pig manures were allowed to air-dry and subsequently sieved through a 2-mm mesh sieve. Treatments are designated as follows: Soil containing Cd: Ctrl (control soil); Cd soil + pig manure: Cdpig; Cd soil + cattle manure: CdCow; Cd soil + leonardite: CdLeo; Cd soil + Osmocote®: CdOsm; and commercial soil + Osmocote®: ComOsm.

Soil was placed into 3.5-L plastic pots with 5 replications (5 pots per treatment). Treatments consisted of Cdcontaminated soil with no amendment, and Cd-contaminated soil (3.15 kg) amended with pig manure, cattle manure, leonardite (0.35 kg) (10% w/w), or Osmocote® (0.15%). Commercial soil was amended with Osmocote® at the same rate. Pots were supplemented monthly with 100 mL of Hoagland's solution with low phosphate (0.01 mM KH₂PO₄) to maintain adequate levels of essential nutrients. Marigold seeds were allowed to germinate in acid-washed sand for 1 week, following which young marigolds of the same size $(8.3 \pm 2.4 \text{ cm height})$ and uniform shape were transferred to the pots and moved to the greenhouse. Temperature, humidity levels, and light intensity were similar to those of the local outdoor environment (27-30 °C; ~ 70% relative humidity; $\sim 18,000 \text{ lx}$).

Five marigold cultivars including *T. erecta* (American, Babuda, Honey, and Sunshine), and *T. patula* (French marigold) were selected for the study. Plants were cultivated on benches in a randomized complete block design. Plants were irrigated twice daily with 150 mL 2 mg L^{-1} Cd solution. Entire plants, including aboveground shoot tissue, flowers, and roots were harvested 3 months after planting. Rhizosphere soil was collected using a plastic spatula for determination of total Cd and extractable Cd concentrations.

Plant, soil, and amendment analyses

Plant materials were rinsed carefully with distilled water to remove attached soil and debris. Plants were separated into roots, shoots, and flowers following which they were ovendried at 70–80 °C for 3 days. Dried tissue was ground using an agate mortar and pestle and passed through a 1-mm mesh sieve. One-half gram of shoot and flower tissue was digested with 70% HNO₃ and 37% HCl, while 0.5 g of root material was digested with 65% HNO₃ and 40% HF using a microwave digestion system (ETHOS ONE®; Milestone Inc., Shelton, CT, USA) (Richter et al. 2016).

Soil samples were oven-dried at 80 °C for 24 h. Soil pH was measured on a 1:5 soil:water (w/v) suspension using an Accumet® AP115 pH meter. Cation exchange capacity (CEC) was determined by leaching with 1 N ammonium acetate adjusted to pH 7 followed by distillation (Sparks et al. 1996). Electrical conductivity (EC) was determined by an EC meter (Hanna instruments; HI 993310). Total N was determined by the Kjeldahl method (Black 1965). Extractable P was recovered using the Bray II method (Bray and Kurtz 1945), followed by analysis on an inductively coupled plasma optical emission spectrophotometer (ICP-OES, Varian® 720-ES). Extractable K was determined by ICP-OES after extraction with NH₄OAc at pH 7.0. Organic matter content was

determined by Walkley-Black titration (Walkley and Black 1934). Soils were extracted for Ca and Mg with diethylenetriaminepentaacetic acid (DTPA) and analyzed via flame atomic absorption spectrometry (FAAS) (APHA, AWWA & WEF 2005). Total Cd was determined using microwave digestion (ETHOS ONE®) with concentrated 70% HNO₃ and 30% H₂O₂ followed by FAAS. DTPA-extractable Cd was determined by FAAS or GF-AAS after DTPA extraction (APHA, AWWA & WEF 2005). NIST 1515 apple leaves and 2711a Montana soil were used for quality control in plant and soil analysis (90–110% recovery, respectively). Soil texture was determined using the hydrometer method (Allen et al. 1974).

Analysis of the animal manures and leonardite was as follows: The amendment was shaken in distilled water in a 1:2.5 (w/v) solid-water suspension for 1 h, and pH was analyzed using an Accumet® AP115 pH meter. Electrical conductivity was measured using an EC meter (Hanna instruments; HI 993310). Organic matter was measured using the potassium dichromate wet digestion method (Schnitzer 1982). Total N was determined by the Kjeldahl method (Bremmer and Mulvaney 1982). Extractable P was extracted by 0.5 N NaHCO₃ at pH 8.5 (Olsen and Sommers 1982), followed by analysis by ICP-OES. Extractable K was determined by ICP-OES after extraction with 1 N ammonium acetate at pH 7.0 (Knudsen et al. 1982). Calcium and Mg were extracted with 1 N NH₄OAc followed by FAAS analysis (Han et al. 2016). Total Cd was determined using microwave digestion (ETHOS ONE®) with concentrated 70% HNO₃ and 30% H₂O₂ followed by FAAS. The physicochemical properties of Osmocote® fertilizer were determined at Central Lab Company, Bangkok.

Data analysis

Plant growth performance attributes including percent survival rate, dry biomass production, plant height, root length, flower number, flower diameter, growth rate, and root/shoot ratio were recorded (Saengwilai et al. 2017).

Growth rate (GR) = $\frac{\text{total dry biomass after harvest-total dry}}{\text{total months in greenhouse}}$	experiment
Root/shootratio (R/S ratio) = $\frac{\text{total dry biomass of root}}{\text{total dry biomass of shoot}}$	Cadmium translocation and accumulation indices of the plants were calculated as follows (Saengwilai et al. 2017):
Translocation factor (TF) = $\frac{\text{Cd concentration in shoot tissue (mg kg}}{\text{Cd concentration in root tissue (mg kg}}$	⁻¹ DW)
Bioconcentration coefficient (BCF) = $\frac{\text{Cd concentration in shoot or respectively}}{\text{extractable Cd concentration}}$	$\frac{\text{bot tissue } (\text{mg kg}^{-1} \text{ DW})}{\text{in soil } (\text{mg kg}^{-1} \text{ DW})}$
Cd uptake = $C_{\text{shoot/root}}$ x total dry biomass of plant (shoot/root	ot)

where C is the Cd concentration in plant tissue.

Data was subjected to analysis of variance (ANOVA) and least significant difference (LSD) post hoc comparison using SPSS® (SPSS, Chicago, IL) on a Windows-based PC. A probability of p < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results

Physicochemical properties of soils and amendments

The contaminated soil contained a relatively low concentration (5 mg kg⁻¹) of Cd and had a near-neutral pH (Table 1). Soil in all treatments became slightly acidic after amendment application with the exception of the CdCow treatment, which became slightly alkaline (pH 7.3). The control soil texture was clay but soils in the CdCow, CdLeo, and CdOsm treatments were loam. Levels of N and P were markedly higher in the CdLeo and CdPig treatments–concentrations were 3× and 4× compared to the control soil, respectively. Increases in EC and OM values occurred in most amended treatments; however, CEC values in the soils were similar, except for the ComOsm treatment, where CEC decreased. The ComOsm treatment had the lowest EC and CEC values, as well as the lowest P, K, Ca, Mg, total Cd, and extractable Cd concentrations.

Among the amendments, only leonardite was acidic (pH 2.6), while pig manure contained the highest EC, OM content, and extractable P (Table 2). All amendment materials had similar total N contents except for leonardite, which had approximately $2\times$ lower N. Furthermore, leonardite had the

Table 1 Physicochemicalproperties of tested soils beforethe experiments

Parameter	Ctrl	ComOsm	CdPig	CdCow	CdLeo	CdOsm
pН	7.0	5.8	6.6	7.3	6.0	6.9
EC ($dS m^{-1}$)	1.0	0.8	1.7	1.7	3.2	1.2
CEC (cmol kg ⁻¹)	26.3	18.7	27.6	24.9	32.2	25.0
OM (%)	4.9	8.6	7.4	6.2	12.1	4.5
Sand (%)	19	31	15	40	37	26
Silt (%)	23	28	32	36	51	34
Clay (%)	58	42	54	25	12	40
Soil texture	Clay	Clay	Clay	Loam	Silt loam	Clay loam
Total N (%)	0.2	0.4	0.4	0.3	0.6	0.2
Ext. P (mg kg^{-1})	334.4	337.8	1346.9	397.1	304.1	425.3
Ext. K (mg kg ^{-1})	695.6	1223.6	1261.1	2416.1	520.6	643.6
Ext. Ca (mg kg ⁻¹)	5283	1559	4357	4468	7725	5228
Ext. Mg (mg kg^{-1})	708	579	920	909	886	628
Total Cd (mg kg ⁻¹)	5.0	2.5	7.8	9.3	6.1	5.4
Ext. Cd (mg kg ^{-1})	3.0	0.4	5.1	4.6	3.8	2.5

Ctrl control, Com commercial soil (low Cd), Osm Osmocote®, Cd cadmium, Pig pig manure, Cow cattle manure, Leo leonardite, EC electrical conductivity, CEC cation exchange capacity, OM organic matter, Ext extractable

lowest extractable P and K concentrations. All amendments had similar contents of Ca, Mg, and total Cd, except for Osmocote, where the Cd value was below detection limits.

After amendment application, total Cd levels increased approximately $1.1-1.9\times$, except for the ComOsm treatment, where Cd concentration decreased by approximately 50%. Extractable Cd concentrations increased approximately $1.3-1.7\times$ in CdLeo, CdCow, and CdPig treatments, respectively, and extractable Cd decreased approximately $1.2-7.5\times$ in the CdOsm and ComOsm treatments, respectively.

After harvest, higher levels of total and extractable Cd were noted in various treatments. However, slight increases in total Cd were found in ComOsm treatments for all marigold cultivars, except for Honey marigold whose value was higher than Cd soil before experiment or approximately $1.8\times$.

 Table 2
 Physicochemical properties of amendments used in greenhouse study

Parameter	Osm	Pig	Cow	Leo
pН	7.4	8.3	8.6	2.6
EC ($dS m^{-1}$)	3.9	4.8	3.5	3.9
OM (%)	-	63.2	37.4	20.1
Total N (%)	1.3	1.2	1.4	0.6
Ext. P (%)	1.4	4.3	< 0.5	BDL
Ext. K (%)	1.3	1.3	1.5	0.17
Ext. Ca (%)	-	1.3	1.5	1.7
Ext. Mg (%)	-	0.34	0.64	0.28
Total Cd (mg kg ⁻¹)	BDL	2.2	2.3	2.4

BDL below detectable limits, Osm Osmocote®, Pig pig manure, Cow, cattle manure, Leo leonardite

Growth performance of marigolds

Plant survival rate was 100% and no toxicity symptoms were observed throughout the experimental period. Application of amendments, particularly pig manure, enhanced plant growth in the Cd-contaminated soil (Table 3). Pig manure contained the highest concentrations of OM and extractable P among the amendments (Table 1). Plant height was greatest in the CdPig treatment, which also had the greatest number of flowers, and had the greatest total dry biomass in shoots, roots, and whole plant (p < 0.05). Pig manure also had a positive effect on flower diameter across all cultivars. The CdPig treatment resulted in the highest growth rate in biomass for all cultivars (p < 0.05), which was also consistent with total dry biomass production. The order of total dry biomass production was as follows: Sunshine > American > Babuda > Honey > French.

Highest root/shoot (R/S) ratios among the marigold cultivars occurred in the ComOsm treatment (0.2–0.38) (p < 0.05) (Fig. 1). The order of R/S ratio in the ComOsm treatment was: American > French > Babuda \approx Honey > Sunshine. Significant R/S values were recorded for French and American cultivars in the CdPig treatment. R/S ratios in other Cd soil treatments ranged from 0.06–0.12.

Cd uptake and accumulation in marigold tissue

In the organic amendment treatments, marigolds accumulated Cd primarily in roots, compared to shoots and flowers (p < 0.05) (Table 4). Significant values (p < 0.05) were measured in French and American cultivars, respectively. Flowers in the

Genotype	Treatment	Height (cm)	Root (cm)	Flower		Biomass (g plant ⁻¹)	Growth rate
				Number of flower	Diameter (cm)		
American	Ctrl	35.7 ± 9.3 dB	17.1 ± 6.3 cA	-	-	$2.5 \pm 1.5 \mathrm{cB}$	1.2 ± 0.7 cB
	ComOsm	$51.7 \pm 3.0 bcC$	$56.9\pm6.1\text{aA}$	$5.8 \pm 1.1 \mathrm{aA}$	$6.1\pm2.5 \text{aAB}$	$13.4\pm2.6bA$	$6.7\pm1.3\text{bA}$
	CdPig	$76.2\pm7.9aAB$	$39.9 \pm 15.2 b A$	$5.2\pm0.8abB$	$6.3\pm1.2aBC$	$45.3\pm5.4aA$	$22.6\pm2.7aB$
	CdCow	$42.6\pm8.4 \text{cdC}$	$12.3 \pm 1.9 \text{cBC}$	$1.3\pm0.6cB$	$4.3\pm1.2aBC$	$4.9\pm2.8cB$	$2.5\pm1.4\text{cC}$
	CdLeo	$41.9\pm 6.2 \text{cdCD}$	$12.3\pm3.6cB$	$1.7\pm0.6 \text{cB}$	$4.3\pm1.4aBC$	$2.7\pm1.2cB$	$1.3\pm0.6cB$
	CdOsm	$55.7\pm18.4bAB$	$22.6\pm15.0\text{cA}$	$3.3 \pm 2.9 bcA$	$5.6\pm0.4aB$	$16.1 \pm 12.3 bA$	$5.4\pm2.3 b A$
French	Ctrl	$35.2\pm8.2bB$	$20.7\pm8.9 bcA$	$1.4\pm0.5\text{cB}$	$4.1\pm0.9 bA$	$2.7\pm1.2bB$	$1.4\pm0.6bB$
	ComOsm	$39.4\pm2.0 bD$	$34.0\pm7.9aC$	$3.6\pm1.1 bcB$	$4.1\pm0.9bB$	$7.6\pm0.8bB$	$3.8\pm0.4bB$
	CdPig	$52.7\pm6.8aB$	$24.5\pm8.3abB$	$10.6 \pm 4.1 aA$	$5.6\pm0.4aC$	$34.5\pm15.7aA$	$17.2\pm7.8aB$
	CdCow	$32.3\pm5.8bC$	$11.6\pm6.8\text{cBC}$	$4.8\pm3.1\text{bAB}$	$4.1 \pm 1.1 \text{bC}$	$4.0\pm2.3bB$	$2.1\pm1.2bC$
	CdLeo	$31.7\pm3.8bD$	$12.1\pm3.7bcB$	$2.8\pm1.7 bcB$	$3.9\pm0.4bC$	$2.4\pm1.7bB$	$1.4\pm0.8bB$
	CdOsm	$40.7\pm11.3bC$	$22.2\pm16.8abcA$	$2.5\pm1.3bcA$	$4.3\pm0.7bC$	$6.0\pm3.3bB$	$3.7\pm0.4bB$
Babuda	Ctrl	$48.1\pm28.3 dAB$	14.7 ± 2.5 cA	$3.0 \pm 1.7 bcA$	$5.3 \pm 2.2 a A$	$1.8\pm1.0~dB$	$1.0\pm0.6bB$
	ComOsm	$61.4\pm4.6bcdB$	$43.4\pm7.4aB$	$3.0\pm0.7bcB$	$5.7\pm0.7aAB$	$10.0\pm0.7\text{cdB}$	$5.0\pm0.3bB$
	CdPig	$89.5\pm5.7aA$	$17.6\pm3.3cB$	$8.8\pm2.1 \text{aAB}$	$7.0\pm1.0aAB$	$44.6\pm14.9aA$	$22.3\pm7.5aB$
	CdCow	$71.4\pm22.3abcAB$	$17.3\pm3.8cAB$	$5.8\pm3.9 abA$	$6.7\pm0.8 aA$	$23.6\pm19.7 bcA$	$18.6\pm4.7aA$
	CdLeo	$80.9 \pm 14.8 abA$	$31.3 \pm 11.3 \text{bA}$	$6.6\pm4.4abA$	$6.0\pm0.6aA$	$35.6\pm18.4abA$	$17.8\pm9.2aA$
	CdOsm	$51.1 \pm 1.9 \text{cdBC}$	17.3 ± 7.5 cA	$1.8 \pm 1.0 \text{cA}$	$5.8\pm1.0aB$	$7.2 \pm 1.3 \text{ dB}$	$3.7\pm0.7bB$
Honey	Ctrl	$57.0\pm2.6aA$	$20.2\pm3.5 bcA$	$1.0\pm0.0\text{cB}$	$4.5\pm1.1\text{dA}$	$6.5 \pm 2.4 bcA$	$3.2\pm1.2 bcA$
	ComOsm	$63.4\pm6.1 aB$	$50.1\pm6.4aAB$	$3.4\pm2.1b\mathrm{B}$	$6.9 \pm 1.5 abA$	$15.4 \pm 2.4 \text{bA}$	$7.7 \pm 1.2 b A$
	CdPig	$75.9\pm35.9aAB$	$26.5\pm10.0bB$	$5.4\pm0.9aB$	$6.1\pm0.5abcBC$	$40.7\pm7.4aA$	$20.3\pm8.7aB$
	CdCow	$49.1\pm37.3aBC$	$8.6\pm4.2 dC$	$2.4\pm1.5 bcAB$	$5.2\pm1.5 cdABC$	$1.5\pm0.5cB$	$0.9\pm0.2cC$
	CdLeo	$52.9\pm4.6aBC$	$15.6 \pm 1.8 \text{cdB}$	$2.0\pm0.7bcB$	$5.4 \pm 1.4 bcdAB$	$4.2\pm1.0cB$	$2.1\pm0.5 \text{cB}$
	CdOsm	$54.8\pm4.1aB$	$26.9 \pm 12.5 bA$	$1.6 \pm 0.5 \text{cA}$	$7.6\pm0.8aA$	$10.2\pm1.5 bcAB$	$5.1\pm0.8 bcAB$
Sunshine	Ctrl	$58.8\pm9.8cA$	13.4 ± 4.9 cA	$3.3 \pm 0.6 bcA$	$4.5 \pm 1.7 b A$	$5.4 \pm 2.3 bA$	$2.7 \pm 1.2 bcA$
	ComOsm	$71.2 \pm 3.5 bcA$	$42.3\pm3.7aBC$	$2.4\pm0.9cB$	$6.2 \pm 1.4 abA$	$14.6 \pm 2.5 \text{bA}$	$7.3 \pm 1.3 bcA$
	CdPig	$103.4\pm37.8aA$	$21.0\pm8.4bcB$	$7.0\pm4.2aAB$	$7.7 \pm 0.9 a A$	$55.2 \pm 35.6 aA$	$34.1 \pm 11.8 aA$
	CdCow	$91.0 \pm 19.4 abA$	$24.5\pm11.2\text{bA}$	$5.7\pm0.6abAB$	$6.3 \pm 1.7 abAB$	$19.2 \pm 12.0 bA$	$9.6\pm 6.0 bB$
	CdLeo	$65.3\pm12.4cB$	$12.9\pm4.9cB$	$1.7\pm0.6\text{cB}$	$5.7\pm0.8 bAB$	$4.0\pm2.2bB$	$2.0\pm1.1 \text{cB}$
	CdOsm	$69.2 \pm 5.2 bcA$	$18.3 \pm 5.6 bcA$	$2.8 \pm 1.5 bcA$	$7.7 \pm 0.5 aA$	$11.5\pm0.8bAB$	$6.0\pm0.7bcA$

Environ Sci Pollut Res

Table 3 Growth performance of five marigoid cultivars (n = 5)

Values followed by the same letter are not significantly different; small letters show the difference of treatments of the same cultivar (LSD: p < 0.05); capital letters indicate the difference of plant growth performance among cultivars within the same treatment (LSD: p < 0.05)

organic treatments, particularly the CdCow treatment for American, French, and Honey, contained significant Cd concentrations ($34.2-71.5 \text{ mg kg}^{-1}$, respectively). Highest Cd values in entire plants occurred in the control treatment for the American cultivar (> 100 mg kg⁻¹), while CdCow and CdOsm treatments for French and Babuda were also high in Cd.

BCF and TF values are important indices for predicting the phytoremediation potential of the tested plants (Saengwilai et al. 2017). BCF values were > 1 for both shoots and roots for all cultivars across all treatments (Table 5). High BCF values for both shoots and roots were found in all cultivars in the ComOsm treatment; BCF values for French cultivars in the CdCow treatment were also high (12.9).

Discussion

The highest soil pH value (7.3) was measured in soil amended with cattle manure. Cattle manure as an amendment is effective in increasing soil pH (Lai et al. 2010). Soil pH is considered a key parameter affecting metal bioavailability (Grant et al. 1999). In alkaline soils, the monovalent species (e.g., CdOH⁺) will not be adsorbed by cation exchange processes and may be available for plant uptake (Laxen 1985; Kabata-Pendias 2001).

In this study, Cd-contaminated soil contained sufficient N, P, and K to support vigorous plant growth (Karamanos 2013; Phusantisampan et al. 2016). This is particularly important as macronutrients are often limiting in contaminated soils (Brady



Fig. 1 Root/shoot ratios of Cd in marigold cultivars. Values followed by the same letter are not significantly different; small letters show the difference between amendment effects on each cultivar (LSD: p <

0.05). Capital letters indicate the difference between amendment effects within the same treatment (LSD: p < 0.05)

and Weil 2002). Desired environmental parameters for marigold cultivation include soil pH of 5.5–7.0, well-drained sandy loam to clay loam texture, and high OM content (Singh et al. 2003). The soil textures in this study did not pose an obstacle to plant growth.

The contaminated soil initially contained a low Cd concentration (5 mg kg^{-1}). However, soil Cd concentrations in excess of approximately 1 mg kg^{-1} are considered evidence of anthropogenic pollution (Uminska 1993); the reported values exceed the Canadian Guidelines limit of 1.4 mg kg⁻¹ for agricultural soil (TRC 2005). The key Cd input to agricultural soils in the Mae Tao river basin is irrigation water derived from runoff from nearby Zn mines that contains both Cd and Zn due to decades-long recovery and processing of ores (Sricoth et al. 2018). To some extent, the amendments themselves were a source of some Cd to the tested soils, as Cd concentrations in all amended soils increased slightly. Fertilizer and amendment materials must, therefore, be evaluated for chemical properties prior to application to agricultural fields. Soil Cd contamination events caused by fertilizer application have been reported elsewhere (Mendes et al. 2006; Dharma-Wardana 2018).

All plants exposed to low Cd concentrations demonstrated survival under harsh conditions. Increased plant growth was consistent over the growing period, indicating adequate tolerance to Cd contamination. Plants contained high tissue Cd concentrations (> 14 mg kg⁻¹ whole plant), which exceeds the tolerance level of most plants, reported as 0.2 mg kg⁻¹ (Zhang et al. 2014).

Total dry biomass production and growth rate in biomass serve as useful indicators of plant growth performance (Meeinkuirt et al. 2016). Each cultivar experienced similar trends at harvest. Highest total dry biomass production and growth rate in biomass, found in Sunshine and American cultivars in the CdPig treatment, were consistent with the highest soil P and Mg concentrations.

Application of amendments, particularly pig manure, enhanced plant growth in Cd-contaminated soil. Pig manure contained the highest P and Mg concentrations and moderate concentrations of OM, N, K, and Ca. In Thailand, organic wastes are commonly used in agricultural fields as they are readily available and easily handled and applied. To some extent, leonardite may not be an appropriate soil amendment as some cultivars experienced low total dry biomass and growth rate values in the CdLeo treatment. However, a mixture of leonardite and zeolite increased barley yield, compared to compost alone (Moreno et al. 2017).

Flower yield is included in this study as this product offers substantial economic value to local economies. In a study by Hladun et al. (2015), the average number of flowers and other floral morphological traits were not affected by Cd; however, at 0.25 mM CdSO_4 , flowering was delayed and the head size of sunflower (*Helianthus annuus*) was smaller in Cd-treated plants (Gopal and Khurana 2011). In the current study, flower production of plants grown on amended soil was higher than those in Cd-contaminated soil alone. The adverse effects of Cd were noted in American marigold grown in the control treatment, where flowers did not develop. Thus, while Cd in soil could impact plant growth, the severity of Cd on plant health may depend upon cultivar specificity and plant tolerance to metal toxicity (Chandra et al. 2010).

Genotype	Treatment	Cd accumulation in plant (mg kg ^{-1})				Cd uptake (mg plant ⁻¹)	
		Shoot	Root	Flower	Whole plant		
American	Ctrl	$50.3 \pm 9.2 a A$	51.6 ± 20.4abAB	_	103.4 ± 58.1aA	197.3 ± 74.5bcdA	
	ComOsm	$44.9 \pm 12.7 aA$	$20.0\pm5.1 bcB$	$13.3\pm1.3bB$	$22.9\pm4.1bC$	$314.3\pm73.2bB$	
	CdPig	$18.5 \pm 3.1 \text{bABC}$	$13.9 \pm 1.9 \text{cA}$	$12.1\pm0.5 bA$	$14.0\pm3.9bC$	$624.9\pm149.6aB$	
	CdCow	$23.8\pm20.7bAB$	$63.8\pm42.5aAB$	$71.5\pm64.0aA$	$30.4\pm24.6bB$	$149.9\pm84.9 \text{cdBC}$	
	CdLeo	$22.4\pm2.9 bAB$	$56.0\pm32.6aA$	$19.3\pm8.8bB$	$20.8\pm 6.4 bB$	$51.3\pm18.0~dB$	
	CdOsm	$17.9 \pm 4.0 bC$	$23.5\pm14.7bcB$	$17.7\pm7.8bAB$	$16.4\pm2.8\text{bD}$	$264.1\pm186.1bcB$	
French	Ctrl	$42.1\pm5.2abAB$	$32.8\pm22.2bAB$	$31.2 \pm 3.8 abA$	$55.2\pm9.1 abB$	$144.0\pm40.5cA$	
	ComOsm	$50.2\pm10.0aA$	$38.9 \pm 17.3 \text{bA}$	$31.8\pm23.6abA$	$56.0\pm8.0abA$	$421.8\pm42.5 bAB$	
	CdPig	$27.0\pm12.8 bcA$	$29.6\pm25.4bA$	$14.1\pm3.8bA$	$31.7 \pm 6.4 \text{bA}$	$1024.8\pm418.3aAB$	
	CdCow	$25.4\pm19.4 \text{cAB}$	$87.0\pm 64.3 aA$	$34.2\pm19.0aAB$	$70.4 \pm 61.3 aA$	$210.7\pm175.2bcBC$	
	CdLeo	$28.9\pm5.1 bcA$	$41.8 \pm 10.0 abAB$	$24.9\pm12.0abB$	$46.5 \pm 11.2 abA$	$116.7\pm102.8cB$	
	CdOsm	$29.1\pm10.9bcAB$	$22.6\pm6.6bB$	$26.7\pm9.8 abA$	$51.3 \pm 7.1 \text{abAB}$	$331.2\pm187.1 bcAB$	
Babuda	Ctrl	$31.9 \pm 10.4 abBC$	$55.8\pm42.9aA$	$19.6\pm9.5aAB$	$52.3\pm16.9abB$	$122.0\pm 64.2 dA$	
	ComOsm	$19.7\pm5.5 bcB$	$36.6\pm8.5 abAB$	$15.3\pm2.2abB$	$31.3\pm10.2bcB$	$314.7\pm115.1 \text{cdB}$	
	CdPig	$21.1\pm7.3bcAB$	$20.8\pm3.4bA$	$12.8 \pm 1.7 abA$	$30.1\pm4.0 bcAB$	$1342.8\pm473.7aA$	
	CdCow	$27.0\pm10.2 bA$	$30.1 \pm 27.3 abBC$	$17.2 \pm 4.9 \mathrm{abB}$	$49.5\pm25.1 abAB$	$812.1\pm 640.7 abcA$	
	CdLeo	$15.7 \pm 4.8 \text{cC}$	$12.9\pm5.0bC$	$12.8 \pm 1.9 abB$	$27.3\pm4.9\text{cB}$	$897.6\pm522.4abA$	
	CdOsm	$38.8 \pm 19.4 a A$	$56.1 \pm 18.2 aA$	$19.0\pm6.7aAB$	$60.1\pm16.7aA$	$426.4\pm118.2bcdAB$	
Honey	Ctrl	$24.5\pm8.9aC$	$20.4\pm5.3bcB$	$20.6 \pm 13.3 abAB$	$32.9 \pm 8.3 abcB$	$218.1 \pm 119.0 bA$	
	ComOsm	$21.2\pm9.4abB$	42.1 ± 21.5abA	$11.8\pm0.9bB$	$35.6 \pm 12.3 abcB$	542.3 ± 187.4 cA	
	CdPig	$9.8 \pm 1.3 bC$	18.5 ± 5.0 cA	$14.1 \pm 4.9 abA$	$22.5\pm9.9cBC$	$786.2\pm227.4aAB$	
	CdCow	$22.6\pm13.2aAB$	$46.7\pm24.1aBC$	$33.9\pm31.2aAB$	$40.2\pm16.1abB$	$66.8 \pm 41.8 \text{cC}$	
	CdLeo	$17.4 \pm 7.7 abBC$	33.1 ± 19.2abcABC	$20.0\pm17.3abB$	$30.3\pm7.7bcB$	$123.1\pm22.2cB$	
	CdOsm	$15.2 \pm 3.8 abC$	$21.9\pm2.0bcB$	$13.5\pm2.4bB$	$46.5\pm9.9aBC$	$484.7\pm148.5bA$	
Sunshine	Ctrl	12.9 ± 5.5 cD	$40.8 \pm 11.6 \text{aAB}$	$15.1 \pm 1.2 bB$	$29.1\pm9.3aB$	$153.8\pm98.2\text{bA}$	
	ComOsm	$10.5 \pm 2.7 \mathrm{cB}$	$43.4\pm24.6aA$	$14.2\pm2.5bB$	$29.8\pm6.1 \text{aBC}$	$444.9 \pm 149.9 \text{bAB}$	
	CdPig	$13.8 \pm 2.3 bcBC$	19.3 ± 11.3bA	$10.4\pm0.3bA$	$25.5\pm7.3aAB$	$1261.8\pm845.4aAB$	
	CdCow	$14.5 \pm 5.2 bcB$	$22.6 \pm 16.4 bC$	$11.2\pm0.8bB$	$38.1 \pm 21.3 aB$	$601.9\pm391.5bAB$	
	CdLeo	19.1 ± 2.3abBC	$18.9 \pm 4.4 \text{bBC}$	$46.3\pm12.8aA$	$29.3\pm8.4aB$	$123.4\pm88.0bB$	
	CdOsm	$22.5\pm5.8aBC$	$41.6\pm9.0aA$	$16.4\pm4.1bB$	$37.1 \pm 5.7 \mathrm{aC}$	$428.2\pm82.9bAB$	

Environ Sci Pollut Res

Table 4Cd uptake and accumulation by five marigoid cultivars (n = 5)

Values followed by the same letter are not significantly different; small letters show the difference of treatments of the same cultivar (LSD: p < 0.05); capital letters indicate the difference in Cd accumulation and uptake performance among cultivars within the same treatment (LSD: p < 0.05)

In general, shoots (including flowers) of plants in the amended treatments had lower tissue Cd concentrations as compared with those in non-amended soil (control). In general, the greatest Cd uptake and accumulation were detected in roots, followed by shoots (\approx flowers). Marigold roots accumulated substantial Cd, thus indicating the potential for phytostabilization. Some reports indicate that organic acids (i.e., carboxylic acid and amino acids) in root exudates form complexes with metals, which promote stabilization within roots. This mechanism has been noted for chromium (Coelho et al. 2017; Srivastava et al. 1999). Considering the excluder potential of marigolds, plants accumulated Cd primarily in roots, particularly in French and American marigolds in the CdCow

treatment; however, when considered by Cd uptake (considering Cd in whole plant mass), Babuda, Sunshine, and French marigolds in the same treatment were considered as very high potential for phytoremediation (> 1000 mg kg⁻¹). Recently, some ornamental plant species including landscape shrubs such as *Osmanthus fragrans*, *Ligustrum vicaryi*, *Loropetalum chinense* var. rubrumsince, and *Euonymus japonicas* cv. Aureo-mar were reported as excluders. However, soil Cd concentrations > 24.6 mg kg⁻¹ could adversely affect plant growth, microbial community composition, and ultimately Cd phytostabilization potential in the plant (Zeng et al. 2018).

The Cd bioconcentration factor and translocation factor for roots of the marigold cultivars were > 1 which confirms its

 Table 5
 Bioconcentration coefficients for marigold shoots and roots, translocation factors, and Cd accumulation in soil after harvest (n = 5)

Genotype	Treatment	BCF		TF	Cd accumulation in soil	
		Shoot	Root		Total	Extractable
American	Ctrl	$6.4 \pm 2.4 bA$	6.9 ± 2.9abcAB	1.1 ± 0.3bcAB	$8.5 \pm 2.0 aAB$	4.1 ± 1.0 bcA
	ComOsm	$23.1 \pm 7.7 aA$	$10.1\pm2.5aB$	$2.3\pm0.8aA$	$2.0\pm0.1\text{cB}$	$0.5\pm0.03eB$
	CdPig	$2.3\pm0.7bAB$	$1.7\pm0.1\mathrm{cB}$	$1.4\pm0.4bA$	$8.2 \pm 1.2 aA$	$2.4\pm0.3\text{dA}$
	CdCow	$3.3 \pm 2.7 b AB$	$8.0\pm 6.2 abAB$	$0.5\pm0.3\ dB$	$8.7\pm0.8aA$	$5.6 \pm 1.6 aA$
	CdLeo	$3.5\pm0.8bAB$	$8.4\pm5.0abA$	$0.6\pm0.5 cdBC$	$6.7 \pm 1.3 b AB$	$5.0\pm0.7 abA$
	CdOsm	$2.9\pm0.6bBC$	$4.0\pm2.6bcBC$	$1.0\pm0.4 bcdB$	$6.0\pm0.5bC$	$3.9\pm0.4cB$
French	Ctrl	$5.0 \pm 1.2 b A$	$3.7 \pm 1.8 bcAB$	$1.6\pm0.7\text{aA}$	$8.7\pm2.0abAB$	$3.9\pm0.9aA$
	ComOsm	$25.3 \pm 5.3 \mathrm{aA}$	$19.8 \pm 10.4 a A$	$1.5\pm0.6abB$	$2.0\pm0.2cB$	$0.6\pm0.2bB$
	CdPig	$3.6 \pm 2.2 b A$	$5.2 \pm 4.3 bcA$	$1.2 \pm 0.7 abA$	$11.0 \pm 4.7 aA$	$3.1 \pm 1.3 aA$
	CdCow	$5.2 \pm 4.5 \text{bA}$	$12.9\pm10.8 \text{abA}$	$0.5\pm0.4 \text{cAB}$	$7.1 \pm 1.6 bA$	$4.6\pm1.6aAB$
	CdLeo	$5.1 \pm 1.9 b A$	$7.3 \pm 2.3 bcAB$	$0.7 \pm 0.3 beBC$	$6.6 \pm 1.8 \text{bAB}$	$3.9 \pm 1.0 a A$
	CdOsm	$4.2\pm0.9bAB$	3.2 ± 1.9 cBC	$1.5 \pm 0.7 ab A$	$7.7 \pm 1.5 \mathrm{bBC}$	$4.6 \pm 1.3 aAB$
Babuda	Ctrl	$5.4 \pm 2.9 \text{bA}$	$9.3 \pm 7.8 \text{bA}$	$0.7 \pm 0.3 bcBC$	$6.6 \pm 1.8 abB$	$4.5\pm0.02abA$
	ComOsm	$13.8\pm6.0aB$	$24.7\pm8.0aA$	$0.5 \pm 0.1 \mathrm{cC}$	$1.6 \pm 0.4 \mathrm{cB}$	$0.4\pm0.1 cB$
	CdPig	$2.9 \pm 1.5 b \mathrm{AB}$	$2.8 \pm 1.2 b AB$	$1.0\pm0.3abAB$	$7.3 \pm 2.3 abA$	$3.3 \pm 1.2 \text{bA}$
	CdCow	$3.7 \pm 1.6 \text{bAB}$	$2.6\pm0.7bB$	$1.0\pm0.3abAB$	$7.8 \pm 1.3 aA$	$5.5 \pm 2.0 a A$
	CdLeo	$3.2 \pm 1.5 \text{bB}$	$2.5\pm0.7bC$	$1.2 \pm 0.3 aA$	$5.6 \pm 1.7 \mathrm{bB}$	$3.7 \pm 1.7 \text{bA}$
	CdOsm	$5.7 \pm 3.0 b A$	$8.2 \pm 3.1 \text{bA}$	$0.7\pm0.4bcB$	$6.8\pm0.4abBC$	$5.8 \pm 1.4 aA$
Honey	Ctrl	$2.5 \pm 1.1 \text{bB}$	$2.1\pm0.9cB$	$1.2\pm0.4aAB$	$10.2 \pm 2.7 \mathrm{aA}$	$4.7\pm0.9aA$
	ComOsm	$5.5 \pm 3.1 \mathrm{aC}$	$10.4\pm6.0aB$	$0.5 \pm 0.1 \text{bC}$	$4.6 \pm 2.6 \text{bA}$	$3.0\pm3.2abA$
	CdPig	$1.3\pm0.5bB$	$2.5 \pm 1.5 bcAB$	$0.6\pm0.2bB$	$8.8\pm3.3aA$	$2.3 \pm 1.0 \text{bA}$
	CdCow	$3.9 \pm 2.9 abAB$	$6.8\pm5.0abAB$	$0.5\pm0.3bB$	$9.8\pm4.8aA$	$5.0 \pm 1.8 \text{aAB}$
	CdLeo	$2.2 \pm 1.2 \text{bB}$	$4.2 \pm 2.9 bcBC$	$0.6 \pm 0.1 b C$	$8.7 \pm 2.4 a A$	$4.7 \pm 1.3 aA$
	CdOsm	$1.6 \pm 0.6 bC$	$2.2\pm0.4cC$	$0.7\pm0.2bB$	$10.3 \pm 2.0 aA$	$4.3\pm0.4abB$
Sunshine	Ctrl	$2.2\pm0.9bB$	$9.8 \pm 7.3 bA$	$0.4\pm0.2aC$	$6.4 \pm 2.1 \mathrm{aB}$	$5.5 \pm 2.6 aA$
	ComOsm	$5.7 \pm 1.9 \mathrm{aC}$	$21.1 \pm 4.6aA$	$0.3 \pm 0.1 a C$	$1.9\pm0.3bB$	$0.6\pm0.02 dB$
	CdPig	$1.7 \pm 0.6 \text{bB}$	$2.0\pm0.6cB$	$0.9\pm0.4aAB$	$8.9\pm3.5aA$	2.8 ± 1.0 cA
	CdCow	$1.9 \pm 1.0 bB$	$1.3 \pm 0.6 \mathrm{cB}$	1.1 ± 1.3aA	$8.2 \pm 2.8 a A$	$3.3 \pm 1.2 bcB$
	CdLeo	$2.6\pm0.4bB$	$2.7 \pm 0.6 \text{cC}$	$1.0\pm0.3aAB$	$7.4\pm0.9aAB$	4.6 ± 1.0 abcA
	CdOsm	$2.9 \pm 1.2 bBC$	$5.5\pm2.5 bcAB$	$0.6\pm0.3aB$	$8.5 \pm 3.1 \mathrm{aAB}$	$4.7 \pm 1.3 ab AB$

Values followed by the same letter are not significantly different; small letters show the difference of treatments of the same cultivar (LSD: p < 0.05); capital letters indicate the difference of BCF (for root and shoot), TF, and Cd accumulation in soil among cultivars within the same treatment (LSD: p < 0.05)

phytostabilization potential in Cd-contaminated soil. Marigolds are suitable for phytostabilization since they grow fast, have a well-developed root system and accumulate high metal concentrations in vacuoles and nuclei of roots. They furthermore act as pioneer species in poor and harsh soil environments (Das and Maiti 2007; Lux et al. 2011).

Several marigold cultivars have been considered for use in remediation of Cd-contaminated and other derelict sites, such as American (*T. erecta*), French (*T. patula*), and nugget (triploid hybrid between *T. erecta* and *T. patula*). In Thailand, these species are commonly grown on large-scale plantations for economic purposes (Prasad et al. 2015). *Tagetes patala* might serve as another species on Cd-contaminated soil as it has high tolerance to Cd-induced toxicity by activation of its

antioxidative defense system (Liu et al. 2011). The highest flower production (flower number, flower diameter, and biomass) was found in the Babuda and Sunshine cultivars, which is consistent with the highest Cd accumulation in whole plant tissues of the same soil treatments (CdPig treatment). This implies that the two cultivars may be considered as alternatives to replace edible crop species in Cd-contaminated fields.

Adding Cd solution throughout the study is presumably the main source of high Cd concentrations in marigold tissue. The data imply that the tested plants had a high potential for Cd uptake and accumulation.

Previous studies reported that application of manure significantly reduced extractable Cd concentrations as it contains high quantities of OM and P which stabilize metals in soil. Cadmium binds readily with organic matter to form stable complexes (He and Singh 1993; Wenzel et al. 1996). Application of manure to soil increased the percentage of organically bound and residual metals but decreased exchangeable levels (Pierzynski et al. 2002). The level of soil organic matter is key to ensuring optimal soil physical properties and improving fertility and microbial activities, thereby improving crop growth and yield. The effects of organic amendments on reducing metal mobility and bioavailability are ultimately a function of the composition, quantity, type, and maturation of OM, microbial degradability, soil physicochemical properties, soil type, and metals present (Hattab et al. 2015). Chang et al. (2007) reported that 540 kg N ha⁻¹ of the organic amendment is suitable for maintaining high organic matter in soil, which is the basis for optimizing crop yields and soil chemical, biochemical, and enzymatic activities. Manure amendments should be composted and/or dried prior to application to soil because high concentrations of organically bound N may be converted rapidly to nitrate-nitrogen (NO₃-N). This N species is readily leachable in the profile which can thereby lead to deleterious environmental and health problems (Ahmad et al. 2016).

A low R/S ratio indicates generally healthy plants (Meeinkuirt et al. 2012). Among the soil treatments, elevated Cd levels did not have affect plant growth because R/S ratios generally followed similar trends. Although the R/S ratios in French and American cultivars were highest, growth was high when compared with plants in different treatments.

High EC values in the CdPig treatment were noted. Elevated soil EC values (approximately > 2 dS m⁻¹) can affect the growth performance of some plants. Certain tolerant crops, for example, barley, can grow in soil with EC values up to 16 dS m⁻¹ (Richards 1954; Rhoades and Loveday 1990). The EC values in this study did not impart any deleterious effects on the tested plants.

Soil treatments were slightly acidic, except for the CdPig treatment. Acidic conditions can increase metal mobility in soil (Loosemore et al. 2004). Acidic soil in the rhizosphere may also enhance Cd uptake and accumulation in plants (Hu et al. 2013); thus, high uptake and accumulation rates in low soil pH can be useful for many hyperaccumulators. Increased biomass production after fertilization may further improve phytoextraction efficiency (Wei et al. 2010; Paz-Ferreiro et al. 2014). In this study, Cd concentrations in aboveground plant parts did not achieve the criteria for hyperaccumulators (i.e., $\geq 100 \text{ mg}$ kg^{-1}) (Baker and Brooks 1989). However, the tissue Cd concentrations reported in this study can potentially increase health risks to consumers. Cadmium concentrations were > 0.15 mg kg⁻¹ in flowers of plants grown in all soil treatments, indicating that they pose some risk for human consumption (Saengwilai et al. 2017). In several countries, marigold flowers are commonly used in food products such as cola and alcoholic beverages, frozen dairy desserts,

candy, baked goods, gelatins, puddings, condiments, and relishes (Meshkatalsadat et al. 2010). Thus, it is suggested that all edible plant parts be evaluated for Cd content, to ensure safety both for the environment and for organisms.

Various authors have reported the potential Cd excluder capacity of species such as Oryza sativa, Eucalyptus camaldulensis, and Vetiveria zizanioides in contaminated areas of the Mae Tao River Basin, as they accumulate Cd mainly in roots and possess TF values < 1 (Prasad et al. 2015; Meeinkuirt et al. 2016; Phusantisampan et al. 2016; Saengwilai et al. 2017). The above species possess inherent Cd tolerance and high Cd uptake and accumulation capabilities; they, along with marigolds, also offer substantial commercial benefits. In contaminated areas, marigolds can grow well and produce flowers throughout the year. More importantly, they produce substantial aboveground biomass and experience high propagation rates, and shoots remain upright, allowing for easy harvest. Thus, marigolds could serve both as an excluder and commercial ornamental plant in Cdcontaminated areas. It should be noted, however, that some marigold cultivars (e.g., Pusa narangi and Ritu raj) are known to accumulate metals mainly in shoots (Saxena et al. 2012); thus, plant genotypes must be carefully screened for phytostabilization potential.

Conclusions

Various marigold cultivars should be encouraged for cultivation in Cd-affected fields in Tak province, Thailand, as they can replace edible plants. Enhancing marigold quality and controlling costs of planting are important for farmers. These goals may be attained via sustainable crop management in Cd-contaminated areas. The five marigold cultivars tested herein demonstrated a high potential for Cd phytostabilization. In greenhouse experiments, plants grew and adapted well to soil contaminated with Cd as evidenced by a 100% survival rate, and with TF < 1 and BCFR values > 1. In terms of phytomanagement, Babuda and Sunshine marigolds are an alternative option for phytostabilization strategy because they possess excluder characteristics with high Cd accumulation in roots and excellent flower production. In addition, certain organic amendments, particularly pig manure, improved plant growth and are recommended for Cd stabilization.

Acknowledgements Funding from Yala Rajabhat University is gratefully acknowledged.

Publisher's note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

References

- Ahmad AA, Radovich TJK, Nguyen H, Uyeda J, Arakaki A, Cadby J, Paull R, Sugano J, Teves G (2016) Use of organic fertilizers to enhance soil fertility, plant growth, and yield in a tropical environment. In: Larramendy ML, Soloneski S (eds) Organic fertilizersfrom basic concepts to applied outcomes http://cdn.intechopen. com/pdfs-wm/50720.pdf
- Allen SE, Grimshaw HM, Parkinson HM, Quarmby JA (1974) Chemical analysis of ecological materials. Blackwell, Oxford
- APHA, AWWA & WEF (American Public Health Association, American Water Works Association and Water Environment Federation) (2005) Standard methods for examination of water and wastewater. American Public Health Association, Washington, DC
- Baker AJM, Brooks RR (1989) Terrestrial higher plants which hyper accumulate metallic elements –Reviews of their distribution, ecology and phytochemistry. Biorecovery 1:81–126
- Black GR (1965) Bulk Density: Method of Soil Analysis. In: Monograph No. 9 Part I. American Society of Agronomy Inc., Washington, DC
- Bosiacki M (2008) Accumulation of cadmium in selected species of ornamental plants. Acta Sci Pol 7:21-31
- Bot A, Benites J (2005) The importance of soil organic matter. In: FAO Soils Bulletin 80. Food and agriculture organization of the United Nations, Rome
- Brady NC, Weil RR (2002) The nature and properties of soils, 13th edn. Prentice-Hall, Inc, Upper Saddle River, NJ
- Bray RH, Kurtz LT (1945) Determination of total, organic and available forms of phosphorus in soil. Soil Sci 59:39–45
- Bremmer JM, Mulvaney CS (1982) Nitrogen-total. In: Page AL, Miller RH, Keeney DR (eds) Methods of soil analysis, Part 2. Chemical and microbiological properties. American Society of Agronomy, Inc., Wisconsin
- Chandra R, Addussalam AK, Salim N, Puthur JT (2010) Distribution of bio-accumulated Cd and Cr in two Vigna species and the associated histological variations. J Stress Physiol Biochem 6:4–12
- Chang E, Chung R, Tsai Y (2007) Effect of different application rates of organic fertilizer on soil enzyme activity and microbial population. Soil Sci Plant Nutr 53:132–140
- Cheng S, Hseu Z (2002) *In-situ* immobilization of cadmium and lead by different amendment in two contaminated soils. Water Air Soil Pollut 140:73–84
- Chintakovid W, Visoottiviseth P, Khokiattiwong S, Lauengsuchonkul S (2008) Potential of the hybrid marigold for arsenic phytoremediation and income generation of remediators in Ron Phibun District, Thailand. Chemosphere 70:1532–1537
- Coelho LC, Bastos ARR, Pinho PJ, Souza GA, Carvalho JG, Coelho VAT, Oliveira LCA, Domingues RR, Faquin V (2017) Marigold (*Tagetes erecta*): the potential value in the phytoremediation of chromium. Pedosphere 27:559–568
- Cooke JA, Johnson MS (2002) Ecological restoration of land with particular reference to the mining of metals and industrial minerals: a review of theory and practice. Environ Rev 10:41–71
- Das M, Maiti SK (2007) Metal mine waste and phytoremediation: a review. Asian J Water Environ Pollut 4:169–176
- Dharma-Wardana MWC (2018) Fertilizer usage and cadmium in soils, crops and food. Environ Geochem Health 40:2739–2759. https:// doi.org/10.1007/s10653-018-0140-x
- Elouear Z, Bouhamed F, Boujelben N, Bouzid J (2016) Application of sheep manure and potassium fertilizer to contaminated soil and its effect on zinc, cadmium and lead accumulation by alfalfa plants. Sust Environ Res 26:131–135
- Gopal R, Khurana N (2011) Effect of heavy metal pollutants on sunflower. African J Plant Sci 5:531–536
- Grant GA, Bailey LD, McLaughlin MJ, Singh BR (1999) Management factors which influence cadmium concentrations in crops. In:

McLaughlin MJ, Singh BR (eds) Cadmium in soils and plants. Kluwer Academic Publication, Dordrecht

- Han SH, An JY, Hwang J, Kim SB, Park BB (2016) The effects of organic manure and chemical fertilizer on the growth and nutrient concentrations of yellow poplar (*Liriodendron tulipifera* Lin.) in a nursery system. Forest Sci Technol 12:1–7
- Haque MA, Monayem M, Hossain S, Alam M (2012) Economics of marigold cultivation in some selected areas of Bangladesh. Bangladesh J Agric Res 37:711–720
- Hattab N, Motelica-Heino M, Faure O, Bouchardon JL (2015) Effect of fresh and mature organic amendments on the phytoremediation of technosols contaminated with high concentrations of trace elements. J Environ Manag 159:37–47
- He QB, Singh BR (1993) Plant availability of cadmium in soil, I Extractable cadmium in newly and long-term cultivated soils. Acta Agric Scand Sect B Soil Plant Sci 43:134–141
- Hladun K, Parker DR, Trumble JT (2015) Cadmium, copper and lead accumulation and bioconcentration in the vegetative and reproductive organs of *Raphanus sativus*: Implications for plant performance and pollination. J Chem Ecol 41:386–395
- Hu J, Wu FY, Wu SC, Sun XL, Lin XG, Wong MH (2013) Phytoavailability and phytovariety codetermine the bioaccumulation risk of heavy metal from soils, focusing on Cd-contaminated vegetable farms around the Pearl River Delta, China. Ecotoxicol Environ Saf 91:18–24
- Kabata-Pendias A (2001) Trace Elements in Soil and Plants. CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL
- Karamanos RE (2013) Nutrient uptake and metabolism in crops. Prairie Soil Crop J 6:52–63
- Knudsen D, Peterson GA, Pratt PF (1982) Lithium, sodium and potassium. In: Miller RH, Keeney DR (eds) Methods of soil analysis, Part 2. Chemical and microbiological properties. American Society of Agronomy, Inc., Wisconsin
- Lai HY, Juang KW, Chen ZS (2010) Large-area experiment on uptake of metals by twelve plants growing in soils contaminated with multiple metals. Int J Phytoremdiat 12:785–797
- Laxen DPH (1985) Trace metal adsorption/coprecipitation on hydrous ferric oxide under realistic conditions. Water Res 19:1229–1232
- Liu YT, Chen ZS, Hong CY (2011) Cadmium-induced physiological response and antioxidant enzyme changes in the novel cadmium accumulator, *Tagetes patula*. J Hazard Mater 189:724–731
- Loosemore N, Straczek A, Hinsinger P, Jaillard B (2004) Zinc mobilisation from a contaminated soil by three genotypes of tobacco as affected by soil and rhizosphere pH. Plant Soil 260:19–32
- Lux A, Martinka M, Vaculík M, White PJ (2011) Root responses to cadmium in the rhizosphere: a review. J Exp Bot 62:21–37
- Meeinkuirt W, Pokethitiyook P, Kruatrachue M, Tanhan P, Chaiyarat R (2012) Phytostabilization of lead by various tree species using pot and field trial experiments. Int J Phytoremdiat 14:925–938
- Meeinkuirt W, Kruatrachue M, Pichtel J, Phusantisampan T, Saengwilai P (2016) Influence of organic amendments on phytostabilization of Cd-contaminated soil by *Eucalyptus camaldulensis*. ScienceAsia 42:83–91
- Mendes AMS, Duda GP, do Nascimento CWA, Silva MO (2006) Bioavailability of cadmium and lead in a soil amended with phosphorus fertilizers. Sci Agric 63:328–332
- Meshkatalsadat MH, Safaei-Ghomi J, Moharramipour S, Naseri M (2010) Chemical characterization of *Tagetes minuta* L. cultivated in South West of Iran by nano scale injection. Dig J Nanomater Bios 5:101–106
- Moreno JL, Ondoño S, Torres I, Bastida F (2017) Compost, leonardite, and zeolite impacts on soil microbial community under barley crops. J Soil Sci Plant Nutr 17:214–230
- Nakbanpote W, Meesungnoen O, Prasad MNV (2016) Potential of ornamental plants for phytoremediation of heavy metals and income generation. In: Prasad MNV (ed) Bioremediation and bioeconomy. Elsevier, United States of America

- Ogbonnaya U, Semple KT (2013) Impact of biochar on organic contaminants in soil: a tool for mitigating risk. Agron 3:349–375
- Olsen SR, Sommers LE (1982) Phosphorus. In: Miller RH, Keeney DR (eds) Method of analysis, Part 2, Chemical and microbiological properties. American Society of Agronomy, Inc., Wisconsin
- Paz-Ferreiro J, Lu H, Fu S, Méndez A, Gasco G (2014) Use of phytoremediation and biochar to remediate heavy metal polluted soils: a review. Solid Earth 5:65–75
- Phusantisampan T, Meeinkuirt W, Saengwilai P, Pichtel J, Chaiyarat R (2016) Phytostabilization potential of two ecotypes of *Vetiveria zizanioides* in cadmium-contaminated soils: greenhouse and field experiments. Environ Sci Pollut Res 23:20027–20038
- Pichtel J, Bradway D (2007) Conventional crops and organic amendments for Pb, Cd and Zn treatment at a severely contaminated site. Bioresour Technol 99:1242–1251
- Pierzynski GM, Erickson LE, Lambert M, Hetrick BAD, Sweeney DW (2002) Phytostabilization of metal mine tailings using tall fescue. Prac Period Hazard Toxic Radio Waste Manage 6:212–217
- Prasad MNV, Nakbanpote W, Sebastian A, Natthawoot P, Phadermrod C (2015) Phytomanagement of Padaeng zinc mine waste, Mae Sot district, Tak province, Thailand. In: Hakeem KR, Sabir M, Ozturk M, Murmut A (eds) Soil remediation and plants. Academic Press, United States of America
- Putwattana N, Kruatrachue M, Kumsopa A, Pokethitiyook P (2015) Evaluation of organic and inorganic amendments on maize growth and uptake of Cd and Zn from contaminated paddy soils. Int J Phytoremediat 17:165–174
- Rhoades JD, Loveday J (1990) Salinity in irrigated agriculture. In Irrigation of Agricultural Crops. Agron Monogr 30:1089–1142
- Richards LA (1954) Diagnosis and improvement of saline and alkali soils. USDA Agriculture Handbook 60, Washington, DC
- Richter RC, Nóbrega JA, Pirola C (2016) Think blank: clean chemistry tools for atomic spectroscopy. Milestone Srl, Italy
- Saengwilai P, Meeinkuirt W, Pichtel J, Koedrith P (2017) Influence of amendments on Cd and Zn uptake and accumulation in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) in contaminated soil. Environ Sci Pollut Res 24:15756–15767
- Saxena S, Srivastava A, Singh VP, Singh UP (2012) Potentiality of marigold for lead phytoextraction from artificially contaminated soil. Ecol Environ Conserv 18:671–675
- Schnitzer M (1982) Organic matter characterization. In: Miller RH, Keeney DR (eds) Method of analysis, Part 2, Chemical and microbiological properties. American Society of Agronomy, Inc., Wisconsin
- Shahid M, Sabir M, Ali MA, Ghafoor A (2014) Effect of organic amendments on phytoavailability of nickel and growth of berseem

(*Trifolium alexandrinum*) under nickel contaminated soil conditions. Chem Speciat Bioavailab 26:37–42

- Singh V, Singh B, Kaul VK (2003) Domestication of wild marigold (*Tagetes minuta* L.) as a potential economic crop in Western Himalaya and North Indian plains. Econ Bot 57:535–544
- Sparks DL, Page AL, Helmke PA, Loeppert RH (1996) Methods of Soil Analysis. Part 3. Chemical methods. Book series No.5. Soil Science Society of America, Wisconsin
- Sricoth T, Meeinkuirt W, Saengwilai P, Pichtel J, Taeprayoon P (2018) Aquatic plants for phytostabilization of cadmium and zinc in hydroponic experiments. Environ Sci Pollut Res 25:14964–14976
- Sriprachote A, Pengprecha S, Pengprecha P, Kanyawongha P, Ochiai K, Matoh T (2014) Assessment of cadmium and zinc contamination in the soils around Pha Te Village, Mae Sot District, Tak Province, Thailand. Appl Environ Res 36:67–79
- Srivastava S, Prakash S, Srivastava MM (1999) Chromium mobilization and plant availability-the impact of organic complexing ligands. Plant Soil 212:203–208
- Swaddiwudhipong W, Limpatanachote P, Mahasakpan P, Krintratun S, Punta B, Funkhiew T (2012) Progress in cadmium-related health effects in persons with high environmental exposure in northwestern Thailand: a five-year follow-up. Environ Res 112:194–198
- Taranaki Regional Council (TRC) (2005) Cadmium in Taranaki soil: an assessment of cadmium accumulation in Taranaki soils from the application of superphosphate fertilizer. Taranaki Regional Council, Stratford
- Uminska R (1993) Cadmium contents of cultivated soils exposed to contamination in Poland. Environ Geochem Hlth 15:15–19
- Walkley A, Black CA (1934) An examination of degradation method for determining soil organic matter: a proposed modification of the chromic acid titration method. Soil Sci 37:29–35
- Wei S, Zhou Q, Zhan J, Wu Z, Sun T, Lyubu Y, Prasad MNV (2010) Poultry manured *Bidens tripartite* L. extracting Cd from soil– potential for phytoremediating Cd contaminated soil. Bioresour Technol 101:8907–8910
- Wenzel WW, Blum WEH, Brandstetter A, Jockwer F, Kochl A, Oberforster M, Oberlander HE, Riedler C, Roth K, Vladeva I (1996) Effects of soil properties and cultivar on cadmium accumulation in wheat grain. J Plant Nutr Soil Sci 159:609–614
- Zeng P, Guo Z, Cao X, Xiao X, Liu Y, Shi L (2018) Phytostabilization potential of ornamental plants grown in soil contaminated with cadmium. Int J Phytoremediat 20:311–320
- Zhang H, Chen J, Zhu L, Yang G, Li D (2014) Transfer of cadmium from soil to vegetable in the Pearl River Delta area, South China. PlosOne 9:e108572. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0108572